

Theory and Decision Library A: Rational Choice in Practical
Philosophy and Philosophy of Science

Nikil Mukerji

The Case Against Consequentialism Reconsidered

 Springer

Theory and Decision Library A:

Rational Choice in Practical Philosophy and Philosophy
of Science

Volume 51

Series Editor

Julian Nida-Rümelin

Universität München, Munich, Berlin, Germany

This series deals with practical and social philosophy and also foundational issues in philosophy of science in general that rely on methods broadly based on rational choice. The emphasis in the Series A is on well-argued, thoroughly analytical and philosophical rather than advanced mathematical treatments that use methods from decision theory, game theory and social choice theory. Particular attention is paid to work in practical philosophy broadly conceived, the theory of rationality, issues in collective intentionality, and philosophy of science, especially interdisciplinary approaches to social sciences and economics. Assistant Editor: Martin Rechenauer (München) Editorial Board: Raymond Boudon (Paris), Mario Bunge (Montréal), Franz Dietrich (Paris & East Anglia), Stephan Hartmann (LMU Munich), Martin van Hees (Amsterdam), Isaac Levi (New York), Richard V. Mattessich (Vancouver), Bertrand Munier (Cachan), Olivier Roy (Bayreuth), Amartya K. Sen (Cambridge), Brian Skyrms (Irvine), Wolfgang Spohn (Konstanz), and Katie Steele (London School of Economics).

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/6616>

Nikil Mukerji

The Case Against Consequentialism Reconsidered

 Springer

Nikil Mukerji
Faculty of Philosophy, Philosophy of Science,
and the Study of Religion
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München
Munich, Germany

ISSN 0921-3384

ISSN 2352-2119 (electronic)

Theory and Decision Library A:

ISBN 978-3-319-39248-6

ISBN 978-3-319-39249-3 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-39249-3

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016940304

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland

To my parents, Maria and Kiran Mukerji

Acknowledgements

A philosophical study is, above all, a *joint* effort. This one is no exception. While working on it, I benefited enormously from the support and encouragement of numerous fellow scholars. First and foremost, I owe thanks to Julian Nida-Rümelin and Martin Rechenauer, who supervised me in writing a thesis which ultimately gave birth to this book. Martin Rechenauer first suggested that I should write a piece about consequentialism. I profited a great deal from numerous discussions with him at every step of the way. Julian Nida-Rümelin's seminal book *Kritik des Konsequentialismus* (1993) and his other writings on consequentialism were a source of inspiration to me. Much of what I have to say on the following pages builds on his contributions. And I was very fortunate to be able to discuss my ideas with him regularly.

I would furthermore like to thank those with whom I was lucky enough to meet, interact, and cooperate, in particular, Kerim Peren Arin, Thomas Bonk, Matthew Braham, Christine Bratu, John Broome, Campbell Brown, Dale Dorsey, Julia Driver Driver, Grant Duncan, Andreas Edmüller, Gerhard Ernst, Wulf Gaertner, Jan Gertken, Johanna Grieshammer, Michael von Grundherr, Manfred Harth, Ludwig Heider, Dominik Heiss, Tim Henning, Lisa Herzog, Karl Homann, Robert Hümmel, Johanna Jauernig, Christoph Luetge, Erasmus Mayr, Paul McNamara, Ulrich Metschl, Julian Müller, Martin Peterson, Douglas Portmore, Hannes Rusch, Geoffrey Sayre-McCord, Walter Sinnott-Armstrong, Jörg Schroth, Christoph Schumacher, Andreas Suchanek, Steve Sverdlik, Mattias Uhl, and Martin VanHees. Also, I would like to thank Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (Bonn) for their financial support. Without them, I would not have been able to embark upon this project in the first place. Special thanks belong to Marianne Braun and Ursula Bitzegeio.

Last but not least, I owe thanks to my friends and family. In particular, I would like to thank Thomas Kaczmarek and Niko Kleinhammer. They have been the dearest of friends to me for so many years, and it is hard to overstate the debt I owe to them. Above all, however, I am indebted to my parents, Maria and Kiran Mukerji, who have always loved and supported me. For this reason, I dedicate this book to them.

Contents

1 Normative-Ethical Foundations	1
1.1 Normative Ethics	2
1.2 Moral Theories	3
1.2.1 The Theoretical Component	3
1.2.2 The Practical Component	12
1.2.3 Some Distinctions Between Moral Theories	14
1.3 Summary	15
2 Metaethical Foundations	17
2.1 The Rawlsian Approach	18
2.2 Interpretations of the Rawlsian Approach	23
2.2.1 The Top-Down Approach	27
2.2.2 The Reflective-Equilibrium Approach	30
2.2.3 The Bottom-Up Approach	36
2.3 Provisional Fixed Points	38
2.4 Trolley Cases	42
2.4.1 Characteristics	43
2.4.2 Uses	45
2.4.3 Pros and Cons	46
2.5 Summary	55
3 Methodology	57
3.1 The Definitional Method	58
3.1.1 The Definition of Consequentialism	59
3.1.2 The Humpty Dumpty Defence	65
3.2 The Family Resemblance Approach	69
3.2.1 The First Version	69
3.2.2 The Second Version	77
3.3 Summary	83

4	Consequentialism and Its Variants	87
4.1	Classic Utilitarianism	88
4.1.1	The Theoretical Component	88
4.1.2	The Practical Component	103
4.1.3	Characteristics	104
4.1.4	Motivation	109
4.2	Variants of Consequentialism	114
4.2.1	Unmotivated Variants	114
4.2.2	Non-Maximizing Variants	135
4.2.3	Alternative Welfarist Conceptions of the Good	149
4.2.4	Alternatives to Welfarism	166
4.3	Summary	177
5	Joining the Dots	181
5.1	The Case Against Classic Utilitarianism	182
5.2	Consequentialist Replies	186
5.2.1	Consequentialist Constraints	186
5.2.2	Slote's Comparative Satisficing	191
5.2.3	Hurka's Maxificing	197
5.2.4	Alternative Welfarist Conceptions of the Good	200
5.3	Summary	207
6	Conclusion	211
	References	219
	Index	237

List of Tables

Table 1.1	A categorization of moral theories based on normative factors . . .	15
Table 3.1	An illustration of family resemblance: criss-crossing	70
Table 3.2	An illustration of family resemblance: overlapping	70
Table 3.3	Construction kit for consequentialist doctrines	72
Table 4.1	Construction kit for consequentialist doctrines (after elimination)	180
Table 5.1	Case ₀	184
Table 5.2	The classic utilitarian analysis of Case ₀	186
Table 5.3	The constrained consequentialist analysis of Case ₀ *	190
Table 5.4	The constrained consequentialist analysis of Case ₀ **	191
Table 5.5	The comparative satisficing analysis of Case ₁	194
Table 5.6	The comparative satisficing analysis of Case ₁ *	196
Table 5.7	The maxificing analysis of Case ₀ ***	199
Table 5.8	The coarse-grained consequentialist analysis of Case ₂	204
Table 5.9	The consequentialist analysis of Case ₀ ** with unequal treatment	206

Illustrations

Illustration 2.1	The Müller-Lyer illusion	20
Illustration 4.1	Hyperbolic discounting	129

