

Palgrave Studies in European Political Sociology

# Understanding Populist Party Organisation

The Radical Right in Western Europe



Edited by  
**Reinhard Heinisch and  
Oscar Mazzoleni**



Palgrave Studies in European  
Political Sociology

Palgrave Studies in European Political Sociology addresses contemporary themes in the field of Political Sociology. Over recent years, attention has turned increasingly to processes of Europeanization and globalization and the social and political spaces that are opened by them. These processes comprise both institutional-constitutional change and new dynamics of social transnationalism. Europeanization and globalization are also about changing power relations as they affect people's lives, social networks and forms of mobility. The Palgrave Studies in European Political Sociology series addresses linkages between regulation, institution building and the full range of societal repercussions at local, regional, national, European and global level, and will sharpen understanding of changing patterns of attitudes and behaviours of individuals and groups, the political use of new rights and opportunities by citizens, new conflict lines and coalitions, societal interactions and networking, and shifting loyalties and solidarity within and across the European space. We welcome proposals from across the spectrum of Political Sociology including on dimensions of citizenship; political attitudes and values; political communication and public spheres; states, communities, governance structure and political institutions; forms of political participation; populism and the radical right; and democracy and democratization. Commas or similar between the names of the editors would be helpful to decipher the names. Editorial Board Carlo Ruzza (Series Editor) Hans-Jörg Trenz (Series Editor) Mauro Barisione Neil Fligstein Virginie Guiraudon Dietmar Loch Chris Rumford Maarten P. Vink Niilo Kauppi David Schwarz.

More information about this series at  
<https://www.palgrave.com/us/series/14630>

Reinhard Heinisch • Oscar Mazzoleni  
Editors

# Understanding Populist Party Organisation

The Radical Right in Western Europe

palgrave  
macmillan

*Editors*

Reinhard Heinisch  
University of Salzburg,  
Salzburg, Austria

Oscar Mazzoleni  
University of Lausanne,  
Lausanne, Switzerland

ISBN 978-1-137-58196-9      ISBN 978-1-137-58197-6 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-58197-6

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016952085

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016

The author(s) has/have asserted their right(s) to be identified as the author(s) of this work in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature  
The registered company is Macmillan Publishers Ltd. London

# Preface

This book was a result of an academic collaboration between authors from different European countries assembled in a research group examining populist party organization and representation. The researchers organized workshops first at the University of Lausanne in September 2012, at the University of Amsterdam in June 2013, and again at the University of Salzburg in September 2014. The original idea behind the project was to look at populist parties as ‘normal’ parties in the sense that they do not require special theories or a unique conceptual framework for their analysis. The notion of normal parties not only related to the fact that over the span of 20 years such parties had become more common across Europe, thus acquiring an air of ‘normalcy’ by their sheer number but also implied that conventional theoretical tools from the literature on parties would help us understand their endurance and success. The research group’s discussions were also guided by increasing evidence that the focus on structural and demand-side explanations of why populist parties are successful or unsuccessful may make us miss important clues as to their true strengths and advantages in a competitive political environment.

The longevity of older right-wing populist parties and the successful emergence of new ones was thus an important point for consideration. Another question had to do with the role of charismatic leadership, the phenomenon often considered to be at the heart of explaining the success of populist parties. In the context of the Austrian Freedom Party

Freiheitliche Partei Österreichs (FPÖ), for example, the media rarely tired in attributing its successes to the ‘Haider phenomenon’. And if it was not the leaders’ almost magical political skills and personal magnetism that accounted for the surge of populist parties then it was said to be the winning formula the leaders applied in pursuing a relentless vote-maximizing strategy. The image of an almost deinstitutionalized and amorphous formation, more movement-like than real party, also crept into the scholarly discourse and fostered an image of such parties as outsiders and ‘not normal’ by the standards of conventional European parties. However, by the time the research group met, several parties had undergone leadership changes. Moreover, all right-wing populist parties under consideration had faced important internal and external challenges to which they had to also react organizationally. In fact, all the parties, including the ones created more recently by a small band of activists or single founder, had become in one form or another institutionalized, with complex organizational features, and appeared organizationally rather conventional and thus ‘normal’. As a result, it made no sense to ignore these facts when trying to understand a party’s endurance.

In the eyes of the researchers assembled in this group, scholarship had neglected the question of how individual populist parties are organized territorially and how representation within these parties functions at all levels. Thus, the members of the research group favoured treating populist formations rather as long-standing Western European parties with complex multilevel organizations and an extensive representative presence in elected institutions. This led to the idea to apply a standardized theoretical framework and guiding questionnaire to allow for genuine comparisons across country cases. Yet, the researchers have remained open to the possibility that populist parties are indeed similar to one another but different from mainstream parties in their own countries and as such unconventional in their respective national contexts. Thus, any meaningful analysis would have to examine populist party organization in the national context. It was important to understand the political ‘ecosystem’ in which these parties operate and how they respond to it organizationally. Finally, there emerged the question of whether a typology of ‘right-’ and ‘left-’ wing populist forms of organization and representation can be constructed.

Whereas the concept of the ‘normal’ party was an important heuristic device to help guide the framing and conceptualization of this volume, the term does not appear as an operational category because it proved difficult to define without ambiguity—‘normal’ as ‘typical’ in national organizational terms or ‘normal’ as in approximating the mass-party legacy (e.g. Duverger 1963) or ‘normal’ in being analyzable based on the typical organizational party literature. Moreover, also normal parties fail in handling leadership transitions. Therefore, ‘normal’ would also have to be defined in terms of party families or party models (i.e. mass/cartel parties), all of which have different ‘normals’. As a result we did not want to employ a potentially controversial concept that would distract from the findings presented in this book. However, the term does capture the idea that populist parties are an ordinary phenomenon. They are neither fleeting nor episodic but have indeed organizational depth that is central to their existence.

As with all such books, logistical and conceptual constraints required that a selection of cases had to be made. For reasons of engaging in meaningful comparisons, it was clear that at least for the purposes of this book the focus needed to be on a single region, Western Europe, and on one part of the political spectrum, the far right. With respect to the actual party cases, one can of course always argue in favor of this party versus that party. We wanted to have parties with a proven track record in terms of significant electoral success and repeated presence in the national legislature. We also aimed for a mix of longer established and relatively more recent parties. While trying to have variability in terms of national settings and foundational characteristics, we purposefully excluded parties that were politically or functionally very different from the rest of the sample. The German *Alternative für Deutschland* (AfD), for example, is too recent a party to be included whereas Berlusconi’s *Forza Italia* (FI) was too much like a business-firm party rather than a right-wing populist one. The United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP) has been seen (also by itself) as nationalist and rather different from the populists on the far right and has rejected offers of collaboration with the latter. Its success also came rather recently compared to that of the other parties examined. Geert Wilders’ Dutch Freedom Party *Partij voor de Vrijheid* (PVV) would clearly qualify as right-wing populist, yet its organizational



structure, given that Wilders is the party's sole member, makes it so unique that meaningful comparisons in this context would be difficult. Hence, we settled on the Austrian Freedom Party *Freiheitliche Partei Österreichs* (FPÖ), the Belgian Flemish Interest *Vlaams Belang* (VB), the Swiss People's Party *Schweizerische Volkspartei* (SVP), the Italian Northern League *Lega Nord* (LN), The French National Front *Front National* (FN), the Norwegian Progress Party *Fremskrittspartiet* (FrP), and the Sweden Democrats *Sverigedemokraterna* (SD). All contributing authors in this volume are recognized specialists on their respective parties and thus represent expertise that is second to none.

Using both English and native language names to refer to the parties in this book may appear an impermissible inconsistency. However, a rigid insistence on uniformity would have required writing about the *Freiheitliche Partei* (Freedom Party) if we consistently use the national-language expression whereas translations into English would have meant using 'Flemish Interest', the 'Northern League', and the 'National Front' with all associations that such terms employ. In these cases, the party names have arguably become political 'brand labels' that carry certain connotations that the technical English translation will never have. Thus, we wanted to let authors decide which form they preferred and national language names were invariably used where this is also common in the scholarly literature. Consequently, at the beginning, we provide for all parties their native language names, and at the same time, an English translation and common acronym (see the list of abbreviations below).

Finally, one of the principal objectives of the group was to develop standardized tools and concepts for investigating organizational development and effectiveness in populist parties. The findings presented in this volume represent only a part of the materials gathered and conclusions reached by the researchers in this collaboration.

# Acknowledgements

An edited volume is by definition a collaborative endeavour and the volume editors would like to thank the contributing authors for their dedication and commitment to the project at hand despite many competing pressures. Apart from the editors and authors there are many other individuals without whom this project would not have come about. We are especially indebted to Lukas Kollnberger for his research work as well as Kristina Hauser and Vanessa Marent for their support in coordinating the collaboration between the authors. We need to thank Christina Anderer, Fabian Habersack, and Christoph Mödlhamer for their help with final editing and Mia Karamehic for her critical reviews and valuable comments. We must also acknowledge the support from the University of Lausanne and the University of Salzburg in hosting our workshops. The authors also wish to thank Sarah de Lange for providing crucial feedback on the project's direction and on early drafts in the context of 20th International Conference of Europeanists in Amsterdam. Last but certainly not least, an enormous debt is owed to Duncan McDonnell not only for being a contributing author but also for convening the first meeting of the research group and for his guiding hand during crucial moments of this process.

Reinhard Heinisch  
Oscar Mazzoleni



# Contents

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <b>Introduction</b>   | 1  |
| <i>Reinhard Heinisch and Oscar Mazzoleni</i>  |    |
| Why Study Populist Party Organization?  | 3  |
| Research Questions and Theoretical Approach   | 5  |
| Case Selection and Comparisons among Parties  | 9  |
| References  | 15 |
| <br>  |    |
| <b>1 The Austrian Freedom Party: Organizational Development and Leadership Change</b> | 19 |
| <i>Reinhard Heinisch</i>  |    |
| Introduction: the Main Traits   | 19 |
| The Party Organization over Time  | 25 |
| Between Change and Adaptation   | 35 |
| Similarities and Differences within the Party System                                  | 38 |
| Conclusion  | 44 |
| References  | 45 |
| <br>  |    |
| <b>2 The Vlaams Belang: Party Organization and Party Dynamics</b>                     | 49 |
| <i>Emilie van Haute and Teun Pauwels</i>  |    |
| Introduction: The Main Traits   | 49 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| The Party Organization over Time: Developing a Well-Oiled<br>Multilevel Party Structure                       | 55  |
| Between Change and Adaptation   | 63  |
| Similarities and Differences within the Party System  | 68  |
| Conclusion  | 73  |
| References  | 75  |
| <br>  |     |
| <b>3 The Swiss People's Party: Converting and Enhancing<br/>Organization by a New Leadership</b>              | 79  |
| <i>Oscar Mazzoleni and Carolina Rossini</i>   |     |
| Introduction: The Main Traits   | 79  |
| The Party Organization over Time  | 83  |
| Between Change and Adaptation   | 92  |
| Similarities and Differences within the Party System  | 96  |
| Conclusion  | 98  |
| References  | 100 |
| <br>  |     |
| <b>4 The Italian Lega Nord</b>  | 105 |
| <i>Duncan McDonnell and Davide Vampa</i>  |     |
| Introduction: The Main Traits   | 105 |
| The Party Organization over Time: a Centralized<br>Macro-regionalist Party                                    | 110 |
| Between Change and Adaptation   | 120 |
| Similarities and Differences within the Party System  | 123 |
| Conclusion  | 126 |
| References  | 126 |
| <br>  |     |
| <b>5 The French Front National: Organizational Change<br/>and Adaptation from Jean-Marie to Marine Le Pen</b> | 131 |
| <i>Gilles Ivaldi and Maria Elisabetta Lanzone</i>   |     |
| Introduction  | 131 |
| The Party Organization Over Time  | 136 |
| Between Change and Adaption   | 145 |
| Similarities and Differences within the Party System  | 149 |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| Conclusion  | 154        |
| References  | 156        |
| <b>6 The Norwegian Progress Party: Between a Business Firm and a Mass Party</b> | <b>159</b> |
| <i>Anders Ravik Jupskås</i>   |            |
| Introduction: The Main Traits   | 159        |
| The Party Organization over Time  | 165        |
| Between Change and Adaptation   | 176        |
| Similarities and Differences within the Party System                            | 179        |
| Conclusion: Between a Business-Firm and a Mass Party                            | 181        |
| References  | 183        |
| <b>7 The Sweden Democrats</b>   | <b>189</b> |
| <i>Ann-Cathrine Jungar</i>  |            |
| Introduction: the Main Traits   | 189        |
| The Party Organization over Time  | 193        |
| Between Change and Adaptation   | 209        |
| Similarities and Differences within the Party System                            | 211        |
| Conclusions   | 214        |
| References  | 216        |
| <b>8 Comparing Populist Organizations</b>                                       | <b>221</b> |
| <i>Reinhard Heinisch and Oscar Mazzoleni</i>                                    |            |
| The Origin of Populist Party Organization in Comparison                         | 223        |
| Comparing Organizational Development and Centralization                         | 227        |
| Organizational Coherence in Comparative Perspective                             | 234        |
| Is Rightwing Populism a Challenge to the Theory of Party Organization?          | 238        |
| Insights for Further Research   | 242        |
| References  | 244        |
| <b>Index</b>  | <b>247</b> |

